

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/23/16	Michael Jacob Orion	jacoborion@aol.com	x			As a resident of BCC I encourage such a fee for summer day hikers. I hope that this would make it possible to run the busses year long and help to incentivize ridership. Residents are sick and tired of all the traffic, both in the winter and summer, and will support a solution to decongest traffic on this modest canyon highway.
05/23/16	Kevin VanOrden	kevin.vanorden@gmail.com		x		I don't think a fee is the way to go. I would like to see volunteer days where, those who can, donate their time, talents and expertise. I would love to be a volunteer coordinator to help with these projects.
05/23/16	Patrick Davies	daviespatrickc@gmail.com		x		I am against fees to hike in these canyons. It's not only the cost, but it's the hassle that the collection process creates before accessing the trails.
05/23/16	Bill Lyells	bill.lyells@outlook.com	x			I think it is a great idea.
05/23/16	Jack Gallivan (Mgr Silver King Mine)	jackgallivan@mac.com	x			This is an idea who's time has come.
05/23/16	Joshua Engle	josh@engle-law.com			x	Since federal law only allows you to charge those that use the amenities, how would you differentiate?
05/23/16	Blake Ingraham	ebi3@comcast.net		x		Enough with the fees already.
05/23/16	Joe Amarante	jamarante1@gmail.com		x		I see it unfair in which a town that I pay taxes in that I will need to pay additional fees in order access the trailheads within its boundaries.
05/23/16	Beth Rhoades	bethrhoades@weber.edu	x			Yes, I would be willing to pay a fee.
05/23/16		nightmarelong@live.com		x		Most certainly not, everything costs too much already. Give us our serenity without a fee!
05/23/16	Carolyn Fargo	Dixonwr@yahoo.com	x			Excellent! I think \$8 to encourage carpooling .
05/23/16	Jim Knight	jimk@knightdesigngroup.com	x			I support fees for local canyons!
05/23/16	Leigh von der Esch (former Director of the State of Utah Office of Tourism)	leighvde@gmail.com	x			Include me as one who would gladly pay the fee.
05/23/16	Kelly D. Hanlon	kelly.d.hanlon@gmail.com		x		NO FEES FOR OUR LAND WE OWN!
05/23/16		wasatch67@gmail.com	x			This is a great idea, I'm ready to season passes.
05/23/16	Jordan Ouzounian	jordan.ouzounian@gmail.com		x		I am strongly against imposing fees for the use of the canyons.
05/23/16	Trevor	trevorwshuman@gmail.com		x		We need places for low income people like myself to take kids and to get out and enjoy nature without having to pay.
05/23/16	Justin Pettit	pettit.justin@gmail.com		x		I realize that you argue that American Fork Canyon charges a similar fee. However, we don't utilize that canyon precisely because of its fee. Your proposed fee on the cottonwood canyons would only discourage family togetherness in the outdoors. You want to charge me to take my family on a hike? Give me a break!
05/23/16		elgreid@yahoo.com		x		Millcreek canyon already charges and I feel like we cannot put a fee on all of our canyons.
05/23/16	Jared Belcher	jaredbelch@gmail.com		x		This proposed fee for the Cottonwood Canyon trails stinks of an easy way to reduce usage.
05/23/16		millersatellite@gmail.com		x		Government is taxing me into POVERTY already. No fees for the Cottonwood canyons!!!!
05/23/16	Jack Sederstrom	jack.sederstrom@gmail.com		x		That's the end of the great outdoors for me and my kids. What's this country coming to? Land of the FEE and home of the brave?
05/23/16	Aron Landry	amkg2012@gmail.com	x			I think it is a fantastic idea.
05/23/16		gurkhastick@gmail.com		x		The excuse to maintain the trails and amenities is poor at best. The outdoors is not supposed to be about amenities.
05/24/16	Rachelle Conte	rachelle.conte@academymortgage.com		x		My vote is no. Taxes were just increased for stuff like this.
05/24/16	Joshua Robbins	joshua.robbins@chghealthcare.com		x		This would be like making every beach in Florida or California fee access only. Really a ridiculous idea.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/24/16	Spencer Perry	Spencer.Perry@l-3com.com		x		I recently bought my home in Sandy right between the two canyons. I purchased a home in that area specifically for the recreational opportunities. I would be very frustrated if I had to begin paying a fee to go explore and adventure in the most beautiful canyons this state has to offer.
05/24/16	Tanner Gibbons	tanner.gibbons@academymortgage.com		x		I would vote against a fee for trail use in Big or Little Cottonwood Canyons in the Salt Lake Valley.
05/24/16	Chris Watson	thebigzwatson@hotmail.com		x		The article wasn't clear as to what the fees will be used for. It was vague and just mentioned improvements. I use these canyons frequently and I think everything is great how it is.
05/24/16	Ryan Robinson	robinson.ryan@utah.edu		x		Millcreek, Alpine, and American Fork canyons already charge fees for entrance, and these canyons have not been "improved" with the fees that have been collected. Why should this case be any different?
05/24/16		mfdfirefighter46@yahoo.com		x		Just remember there are people that don't have much and this is one free thing they can do to unplug from a cruel world.
05/24/16	Brian Nabors	bcn@spectrum-engineers.com		x		Having access to nature and recreation is the right of every Utahn, not being able to go for a hike because you don't have the money is one of the most reprehensible thoughts ever proposed.
05/24/16	Michael S. Temple	michael.s.temple@gmail.com		x		The US Forest Service are stewards of the lands, not owners. The owners are the People of the United States. It seems a little presumptuous for the stewards to charge the owners the use of the owners' property.
05/24/16		fleszard@gmail.com		x		Hiking is a sport that families can do that doesn't cost a lot. This world has gotten too expensive to have a family.
05/24/16	Andrew Spencer	drewgyn@yahoo.com	x			As someone who has lived and owned property in Big Cottonwood for over 40 years (and several generations before that), I see firsthand how the canyons have changed and use has skyrocketed.
05/24/16	Charlie Heinritz	cthstd@comcast.net	x			And make sure all those boy scout cars pay they use the road ,trails and add to the decay.
05/24/16	Damon	damonb79@gmail.com	x			This is a great idea and I would gladly pay to use the canyons.
05/24/16	Laura Linnell Anderson	lauralinnell@gmail.com		x		Most families hike because it's a free activity and it's a good way to get our kids out and moving.
05/24/16	Kristin Thomas	xtinthomas@gmail.com	x			I would like to see it go even a step further and restrict access to the canyon entirely like Millcreek.
05/24/16	Brian Powers	futureenergy@gmail.com	x			The Canyon is a mess of people and it is just not enjoyable when so many people are there. So I vote not only on hiking trails but on GENERAL ADMISSION TO THE CANYON.
05/24/16	Fred Eldredge	FDEldredge@afstores.com		x		Donation box, making it possible to give and making that optional. Not sure how well they work but I certainly like to be free to give or not to give.
05/24/16	Libby Ellis (Employee Black Diamond Equipment)	Libby.Ellis@bde.com	x			I use the canyons nearly every day and have NO problem paying a day fee.
05/24/16	Jennifer Hirschi	jrhirschi@gmail.com		x		Only those who can afford fees pay them. A monetary system rarely solves problems. They attempted similar solutions with other areas in the nearby region, such as Mill Creek Canyon, and the Mirror Lake Scenic Byway. They closed Mill Creek Canyon to only those who were wealthy enough to spend money on such a place, and moved all other individuals to the nearby regions- the canyons which are now experiencing subsequent and foreseen problems.
05/24/16	Patrick Kelly	patrick.kelly.ctr@afcent.af.mil	x			I have no problem with the proposed \$6 fee (or \$45 annual pass) AS LONG AS the money collected goes directly back into the upkeep, maintenance, and improvement of the areas where the fees are being charged.
05/24/16		mrtrrott@yahoo.com		x		I have watched this process in other areas that were once free. The promises about how the fees would be used to improve the area have never come to pass.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/24/16	Mike Spendlove	michael.spendlove@gmail.com		x		I feel the government has a moral obligation to seek such a solution so that the entire public can continue to enjoy our state's natural beauty regardless of what each individual's financial constraints may be.
05/24/16	Jerel Bullock	wrecks00@gmail.com	x			I am not opposed to paying a fee to help maintain and preserve our canyons and trails.
05/24/16	Rachel Adams	adams.rachel528@gmail.com	x			I think this fee if used correctly could greatly benefit our canyons.
05/24/16	Kurtis Hinderman	kurtishinderman@gmail.com		x		If the federal government that I pay taxes to, wants to charge me to use the people's land they are wrong.
05/24/16	John Knoblock	johnhknoblock@yahoo.com	x			Nice work Matt! The time has certainly come to institute a fee program in the Cottonwood Canyons.
05/24/16	Austin Farrall	austin.farrall@yahoo.com		x		I enjoy the canyons on a regular basis and would enjoy them much less if I had to pay a fee, on top of tax dollars that are already spent in these areas.
05/24/16		seancampmsw@gmail.com	x			It is a sad human phenomenon that what costs us nothing is valued in kind, and we tend to show greater respect for that which requires some sacrifice on our part.
05/24/16	Brittany Bellows	brittany.bellows@hsc.utah.edu		x		I feel it unfair to take half the canyon for ski runs that are virtually unusable in the summer and then ask people to pay to hike on what trails are left.
05/24/16	Tom Beesley	tsbeesley@gmail.com		x		Rather than a fee, require a no fee permit obtained online.
05/24/16	Annie Smith (Director Economic Development Uintah County)	asmith@uintah.utah.gov		x		You will have greater Indirect economic impact upon support businesses if you do not deter guests by placing fees on what once was a free opportunity.
05/24/16		m44england@gmail.com		x		I just do not have the words to describe how strongly I oppose charging a fee just to hike on public land. Taxpayers should never have to pay just to visit forest service or BLM public land.
05/24/16	Nicole Brown	brown.nicole.e@gmail.com	x			I think it's a great idea
05/24/16	Brian Stubbs	stubbs.son@gmail.com		x		I hate seeing nature turned into an amusement park so I am against fees in general
05/24/16	Jeff Martin	Jeffm.Martin@comphealth.com	x			As a frequent user and lover of the canyons, I have felt for the last few years that fee based usage is necessary.
05/24/16	Mike Jenson	mjjenson@ymail.com		x		My family uses these Cottonwood canyons every year and I OPPOSE the suggested fees.
05/24/16	Brent Levetan	blevetan@yahoo.com		x		I do not support a fee structure to access the canyons
05/24/16	Jill Haslan	jah32utah@gmail.com	x			I agree that the US Forest Service should charge a fee that would be good for 3 days and apply only to sites with developed amenities.
05/24/16		jcoachkl@hotmail.com	x			There are so many visitors to the canyons now that I think that this is a very good idea. Sent from my iPad
05/24/16	Kirk Nichols	Kirk.Nichols@health.utah.edu	x			my preference is a fee on every car that drives on the road
05/24/16	Dave Tucker	davectucker@gmail.com	x			A CANYON FEE WILL ENCOURAGE USERS to enjoy all of the canyon to get their money's worth.
05/24/16	Jason Kinsfather	jrkinstfather@gmail.com	x			I wanted to express that I am conditionally in favor of usage fees. [list of concerns]
05/24/16	JLD	jldabell@gmail.com		x		No more fees please.
05/24/16	Gaylis Linville	gaylis.linville@gmail.com	x			As a resident of Big Cottonwood Canyon, I'm 100% in favor of charging fees.
05/24/16	Dolan Pritchett	dolanpritchett@gmail.com		x		Americans agreed that not all of the land should be exclusive to those that can pay for it.
05/24/16	Gayelene Gudmundson	GGudmundson@sico.org		x		I am fine with imposing fees on people that mis handle the natural resource, but to require a fee to enjoy nature is just wrong.
05/24/16	Tanya Strong	tstrong@americafirst.com		x		How are we supposed to teach our children to take care of the earth we were given; how do we let them explore, to discover the joy of coming around a trail bend and being blown away by the magnificent view in front of them if those kids who need that the most (i.e. the inner-city and lower class) are denied access because they can't afford it.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/24/16		leafyeden@gmail.com		x		I would rather have less upkeep without the fee.
05/24/16	Mark Tenney	TenneyM@cumminsallison.com	x			A little higher price might be better.
05/24/16	Kelsi Simmons	kbergdahl1@gmail.com		x		I would love to get involved with preventing this from passing, let me know what I have to do in order to be successful in that endeavor.
05/24/16	Jeannie Mathis	jeannie.mathis@comcast.net	x			Yes! I'm in favor. Let's do it
05/24/16	Amy Fugal	amyfugal1@gmail.com	x			If you're unable to maintain the areas without the fee it will get destroyed.
05/24/16	Roxy Dawn	roxydawn387@hotmail.com	x			The trails are overrun with people and this would help cut back on them
05/24/16	Jennifer Leiser	Jennifer.Leiser@hsc.utah.edu	x			This will enhance my experience as a user of these wonderful resources.
05/24/16	Richard Flink	Richard.Flink@mail.org		x		In areas of our state where this has already been tried, the boy scouts no longer use those areas.
05/24/16	Tyler Rice	tylerr@aldouslegal.com	x			With millions on the Wasatch front using these canyons, this is the solution.
05/24/16	Angela Rogers	a Rogers@episcopal-ut.org	x			I think fees would help keep riffraff out of the mountains
05/24/16	Richard Jordan	richardjordan3030@gmail.com	x			I think Fees are a great idea for the big and Little Cottonwood canyons, drive the usage down a bit
05/24/16	Krista Hartz	hartzkrista@gmail.com		x		For those of us that enjoy hiking various trails buying multiple use passes seems a bit much.
05/24/16	Fred Tripp	fredtripp@outlook.com	x			I support the collection of fees for the forest service areas of the Wasatch.
05/24/16	J. Spencer Ball	spencer@spencerball.com	x			Any fees collected surely should go to make this important needed change. [Creation of new trail to get pedestrians out of his access road]
05/24/16	T. Gaisford	trgaisford@gmail.com	x			I am for the fees as long as there is detailed accounting, showing how much money is coming in and exactly where it is going.
05/24/16	Linda & Steve Wolf	sl.wolf@comcast.net		x		No!! Would consider fee for transportation to trail heads.
05/24/16	Joni Dykstra	joni@altalodge.com	x			Over the past few years, I've found it very difficult to use these recreation areas on weekends during summer months unless I am at the parking area at 7 am.
05/24/16	Christopher Mertin	cmertin@cs.utah.edu		x		BCC and LCC are the closest stress release that students at the University of Utah have, as other hiking, climbing, and other outdoor activities are at least an hour's drive away one way.
05/24/16	Faybian Bradley	faybian.bradley@yahoo.com		x		We claim as a state that obesity is an issue and that people should be fit, that having a healthy society is important, so why in the name of all unholy things this government stands for is it now being taken away from us?
05/24/16	Kevin J Miller	kjmiller1@mmm.com		x		From the tone of the article it looks like regardless of public input this will be shoved down our throats like all the other changes that happen without public comment or input. It's too bad that the general public doesn't have any control over what the forest service does and that there is no accountability from the forest service to the public.
05/24/16	B. N. Koga	bnkoga@live.com	x			Like the idea of fees for the canyons usage.
05/24/16	Kate C. Kelly	kate.c.kelly@gmail.com		x		I am not wealthy by any means, and nature is therapy to me. I feel strongly that going hiking is a form of mental health care not only for me, but for many others.
05/24/16	Corey Sharp	corey.sharp@merit.com		x		Let us not pretend that the fee would be put in place for any other reason than to reduce the number of people showing up.
05/24/16	Mark Schraedel	markschraedel@gmail.com		x		No. The trails never needed to be maintained.
05/24/16	Sheila Pryor	smhpryor@gmail.com	x			Yes, Yes, Yes. People pay for tickets to see the latest movie and etc.
05/24/16		ryan@thecadencegroup.com	x			GREAT IDEA!
05/24/16	Mike Menssen	mike.menssen@gmail.com	x			I am in favor of this both to provide needed money to care for the canyons, and also because it might provide a little help for the crowds.
05/24/16		teancum21@gmail.com		x		The fee would honestly deter me from using the trails when there are other free trails or there, likely not as beautiful or enjoyable but still free to enjoy.
05/24/16	Kathy Pollock (Forest PIO forwarding Twitter comment)	kjpollock@fs.fed.us			x	If I pay them I should be able to bring my dog.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/24/16	Kurt Hegmann	Kurt.Hegmann@hsc.utah.edu	x			A recreation fee is likely needed due to the volume of users of Big/Little Cottonwood Canyons.
05/24/16	Rebecca Hotze (FS) (forwarding message from Betsy Manos)	rhotze@fs.fed.us	x			we vote yes to collecting fees, but would rather have them for the whole canyon rather than just trails, to help with winter use as well.
05/24/16	Rebecca Hotze (FS) (forwarding message from Bart Reuling)	rhotze@fs.fed.us	x			Here that you stirring up the some controversy with the new fees for the Canyons. Good job! someone needed to set some new initiatives.
05/24/16	Roger Nedel	roger@thenedel.com	x			As a regular hiker in this region, I think requiring me, and others like me, to subsidise trail and resource maintenance is long overdue.
05/24/16	Jim Adamson	Jim.Adamson@pacificorp.com	x			I would gladly pay \$45 a year to see the trails maintained. I think it is a great idea.
05/24/16	Evan Johnson	thaddeusmt@gmail.com	x			I also like that the proposed fee ties-in with the American Fork and Mirror Lake highway fee areas. I am always annoyed by those day fees when I occasionally visit, but I would by the pass for Big and Little every year, so those other areas would effectively become "free" for me, which is a nice bonus.
05/24/16		whitesq@gmail.com		x		I am NOT in favor of the proposed fee system for Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons!
05/24/16	Jay	joebiker1@yahoo.com		x		No new fees!
05/24/16	Sally West	menana_supernana@yahoo.com		x		God gave us the land for free for us to explore and enjoy nature, can't do the if you put a fee on it! So No!
05/24/16	Elysia Yuen	elysiayuen@gmail.com		x		It would be a shame to make such a great health benefit limited to those only able to afford it.
05/24/16	Sa Wipfel	dayang007@gmail.com		x		Most maintain work on trails or mountain have done by those sweet volunteers, why FS needs more fees?!
05/24/16	Erin Robinson	milk_with_knives@yahoo.com	x			YES, PLEASE! Anything to reduce the traffic and the mess of parked cars.
05/24/16	Stan Radford	sradford@xmission.com		x		Without seeing an itemized list of anticipated improvements or benefits I would initially be opposed to the proposed fee (just another tax).
05/24/16	Lise Brunhart	utahyeti@gmail.com	x			I wish to say YES to this proposal of fee areas in the Cottonwood canyons, as it may be a quick and direct way to keep dogs out of the watershed, plus make some wonderful trailhead/trail improvements that are badly needed !
05/24/16	Steve Yeates	stevencyeates@gmail.com		x		What get's lost in the scramble for dollars is the fact that placing a fee on these popular trails effectively closes the trail to the minimum wage earner, the college student, the newly married couple, the pensioner on a fixed income and the young families with kids who are struggling to make ends meet.
05/24/16	Chaz Howard	chazhoward1@gmail.com		x		These small fees, so kindly and reasonably solicited will lead to higher fees imposed by established precedent and a general destruction of the citizens established expectation that the public lands are public and available to all regardless of their income or station in society.
05/24/16	Antony M. Watson	antony.m.watson@medtronic.com	x			Another option that I would like to be considered as the idea of a tollbooth at the mouth of each Canyon. Where an annual pass could go through a fast lane and anybody paying for a day pass would have to stop. This would ensure greater capture of revenue.
05/24/16	Janet Wade	janetw@esgw.org		x		For many low income families living in cramped apartments an escape to the mountains can offer relief. A picnic packed and a family can have a cool experience at the cost of a little gas. Imposing a fee would create a barrier for many families.
05/24/16	Amy Mills	amills3912@yahoo.com		x		While I'm in favor of reducing traffic up the Canyons, I object to user fees. We already pay user fees: They're called TAXES.
05/24/16	Don Ferguson	don.ferguson1@gmail.com	x			A fee to cover maintenance and improvements seems like a great idea.
05/24/16	Mark Schraedel	markschraedel@gmail.com		x		We should not be divided into classes, according to our riches or chances for learning.
05/24/16	Mike Larsen	LarsenJM@ldschurch.org	x			I'm for the fees and think it's a great idea because they do get used a lot.
05/24/16	Todd Troxell	slctrox@comcast.net	x			I would support having all cars charged to drive up the canyon roads.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/24/16	Nick Tygensen	nick.tygensen@icloud.com		x		If you decide to not allocate your finances appropriately it should not have to be funded by the public.
05/24/16	Westley Hunsaker	westleyhunsaker@gmail.com		x		Please I beg you don't make a walk or hike in nature a price to pay it should be free.
05/24/16	Keith Ward	keithslama@comcast.net		x		I don't hike often but would do so less if I feared I would be accused as a criminal for not paying a fee to hike.
05/24/16	Robert M.	robert@conceptsexecution.com		x		This is a stupid and ridiculous idea! A lot of people do it because it doesn't cost anything! People with children that cannot afford to do other things!
05/24/16	C. Park	parkcl@hotmail.com		x		It is getting harder and harder for people on limited incomes to go places to enjoy the outdoors or family outings without it costing more and more.
05/24/16	J. Ogilvie	jwogilvie77@gmail.com	x			Great idea. If you use it, pay for it.
05/24/16	Ronald Goers	ronald.goers@us.af.mil		x		Another TAX to use Utah public lands is NOT what Utahans need or want.
05/24/16	Holly Mullen	hmullen.slc@gmail.com	x			I fully support the Forest Service proposal to charge a fee for use of popular trails and amenities in the canyons.
05/24/16	Rich North	onyda2@yahoo.com	x			Bus service for hikers / bikers is definitely a good idea but cars should not be restricted. Instead, require a daily use fee or an annual permit fee which would allow driving and parking in the canyons.
05/24/16	Christine Garrett	christinemgarrett@gmail.com	x			I do not support putting any of that money into transit systems that will get more tourists up to resorts and expand their businesses. This should be a protection fee to reduce impact not help further it.
05/24/16	Sam	samanthajskern@gmail.com	x			It will also act as a deterrent for people to drive individually in their cars or who go up the Canyons and trash the place.
05/25/16	Justin Joos	justin.joos@gmail.com		x		We already have one nearby canyon that does this and I haven't noticed any difference in the trail quality, or the road for that matter.
05/25/16	Connie Lewis	crytinboa@gmail.com		x		I almost feel like my opinion is futile because when I hear that someone is thinking of increasing a tax or adding it fee they've usually already made up their mind, but I hope you haven't. Don't enact this fee!
05/25/16	Natalie Nielsen	natalie.nielsen@jordandistrict.org		x		That's a lot of money to dish out each year, particularly because there are those of us who run young adult programs who like to utilize these canyons, hikes, and picnic areas. Asking kids to pay \$3-\$6 a piece per canyon and picnic use may discourage them from enjoying the outdoors as much. I know I would have to eliminate my team's annual hike, because I work in a low income area. For most of my team, their hikes to Creact Lake, Lake Mary, and Willow Heights has been their first hike in Utah. For many, this has encouraged them to explore the canyons more. It would be sad to see this end.
05/25/16	Shauna Tea	btea@comcast.net		x		I love these canyons. I feel like they are my home, my backyard. You wouldn't charge me a fee to go in my own back yard. That is how I feel about this proposed fee, that you will be charging me to enjoy my own back yard!
05/25/16	Daniel Feidt	danielfeidt@mac.com	x			I own a cabin almost directly across the road from the Silver Lake visitors center in Brighton. I have a grave concern that if a fee is instituted to park in the visitor center's lot most people will just park on the side of the road. When the lot is full we already have a problem with cars parked up and down the road with the attendant noise and littering. Can the shoulders on both sides of the road near the center be designated "No Parking"? I believe this would go a long ways to alleviate the problem and should increase user fees.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/25/16	Janeen & Don Halverson	dhalverson911@gmail.com			x	There is a significant conflict of interest in the issue of canyon fees. The fact that two Mountain Accord Executive Board members, Carl Fisher and Laney Jones, are referenced in the May 24th Salt Lake Tribune article implies Mountain Accord is involved. Mountain Accord is not looking out for the best interests of our canyons, but the best interests of the the UTA executives. Laney Jones' background is transportation, not the environment. Is this proposed fee about maintaining toilets or supporting Mountain Accord's transportation agenda? UTA is negotiating with the federal government for funding for a multi-billion dollar cog rail train up Little Cottonwood Canyon, and this fee issue may be part of that negotiation. Any train up Little Cottonwood Canyon would significantly and forever harm the beauty and utility of the canyon. At one of the early Mountain Accord public meetings at the Salt Lake City library, I asked if they are studying the possibility of accessing the Little Cottonwood Canyon ski resorts through American Fork Canyon. They responded with, "That is in Utah County and we are not concerned with Utah County." The feasibility of American Fork access is not the point. The point is that tMountain Accord is not even considering Utah County in its effort to "preserve our mountains." Why not? The issue of charging a fee for access into the trails of our cottonwood canyons should be considered independently of highly biased Mountain Accord.
05/25/16	Jim Palmer (Member, Mountainous Planning Commission)	j.james.palmer@gmail.com	x			I favor the proposed fees, as we need to do something to manage the tremendous pressures crowds have on the Wasatch Canyons and our critical watershed.
05/25/16	Joel Karmazyn	jkarmazyn@comcast.net	x			My recommendation is to offer a 1-day lower fee and higher 3-day fee
05/25/16	Dave Tyler	dave@davetyler.net		x		I am a life long resident and love to go into my backyard. Having to pay fees every time I go into the backcountry will ruin the experience.
05/25/16	Kate Shepherd	kate.shepherd@comcast.net	x			I happily support and encourage the implementation of access fees for the Cottonwood Canyons in Salt Lake County!!
05/25/16	Margaret Mair	pmair12@gmail.com	x			As a regular hiker in this region, I think requiring me, and others like me, to subsidize trail and resource maintenance is really quite fair.
05/25/16	Dean Kezman	dkezman@gmail.com		x		my love for the mountains is almost spiritual, and that paying a fee to enjoy the trails and sights, in my opinion, is akin to a church asking a fee to enter each Sunday.
05/25/16	Amber Martin	ambermartinwellness@gmail.com		x		Absolutely not! Do NOT charge a fee to access the trails. When would it stop?
05/25/16	Nancy Woodland	cttnwd4@gmail.com		x		By adding an additional fee, that would change the invitation to experience nature for the local residents and also the tourists
05/25/16		sallen400@gmail.com	x			One of the TV stations make it sound as though the use fee would be voluntary. I strongly recommend that the use fee be mandatory.
05/25/16	Ara Bravo Condas	abcondas@gmail.com	x			In the state of WA, the WA Trails Parking Pass is required to park at any trailhead in state parks and National forests. It's a yearly permit you hang on your mirror that costs for \$30-\$65. This is a far more reasonable system than \$6 per 3 days and far more equitable.
05/25/16	Aaron Bullen	aaronbullent@gmail.com		x		Just expressing my wish that no fee is collected for entrance to the cottonwood canyons.
05/25/16		c-bear1@hotmail.com		x		I live up in BCC and oppose paying more money.
05/25/16	David Coyne	skicoyne@gmail.com	x			I fully support fee access for the cottonwood canyons for private vehicle access.
05/25/16	Chantel Roberts	chantel.roberts87@gmail.com		x		I took a group of 15 people from a community group of disabled teenagers up there for a hike 2 summers ago when I was leading their group. Had there been a fee we would not have been able to afford to take them up there because we had an extremely strict budget and weren't allowed to spend our own money as staff.
05/25/16	Adam Christensen	achristensen@utahsbr.edu		x		This is just ludicrous
05/25/16	Aurie Durham	auriedurham@gmail.com		x		This plot is a scheme to collect more money from people who just want to go out and experience what is supposed to be everyone's.
05/25/16	Erme Catino	erme.catino@gmail.com	x			

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/25/16	Noah Syroid	nsyroid@gmail.com	x			Traffic has become untenable in this Canyons, and a fee will incentivize more carpooling.
05/25/16	Ryan Agnew	ryanagnew@utahsdi.com		x		If money for maintenance is an issue, put donation boxes at the trail heads that need them. You will quickly notice that the people of Utah are happy and very generous to pay what they can for a good cause but WILL not tolerate being forced to do so. People should NOT BE FORCED TO PAY to enjoy what this beautiful state has to offer.
05/25/16	Andrew Heiner	maltedmilkball@gmail.com		x		I will avoid the canyons that are going to require paying fees, just like I avoid mirror lake and American fork canyon.
05/25/16		brosnelsen4@gmail.com		x		this feels like a money grab by this ranger district due to the popularity other natural areas that have fees, why do we pay taxes of everything has a fee attached?
05/25/16	Tristin Agnew	tea13_7@hotmail.com		x		I think it's a TERRIBLE idea to start making people pay to use the canyon.
05/25/16		fynn66@gmail.com		x		I hike all of the trails mentioned and these are the best maintained trails in the system precisely because they get a lot of travel. I have never seen a single forest service person maintaining those trails in the 15 years I've been here.
05/25/16	Karen Bryant	karenrich_bryant@yahoo.com		x		I think Utah's mountains should be free and I don't think anyone would gain a profit from them as they don't belong to anyone they belong to everyone.
05/25/16	Matt Harris	harrismt420@gmail.com		x		Until I see evidence that current budgets are used responsibly, the government doesn't need anymore.
05/25/16	Emily Rushton	emily.m.rushton@gmail.com	x			As a Salt Lake City resident, I 100% support this idea and I hope it gets passed.
05/25/16	Matt	swiftoch@gmail.com		x		Tired of all the damn fees I have to pay to park and to fish and now...to hike. You're kidding right. This fee proposal is a joke.
05/25/16	Ty Nelson	Tyler.Nelson@patagonia.com	x			I fully support charging day users in the canyon!
05/25/16		tom@tbid.org			x	Allow r canine friends in the cyns & I'll gladly pay your fee. Otherwise, I'm forced to go elsewhere.
05/25/16	Ben Sampson	bdsampson13@gmail.com		x		I am very proud of how those canyons look and are taken care of.
05/25/16	Stacey Petersen	staceypetersen@gmail.com	x			I would hope that there would be some waiver for those who can't afford to pay the fee (free lunch, food stamps, etc.) so the fee doesn't keep them from using the trails and enjoying the growth that comes from being in nature.
05/25/16	Cathy Christofferson	dtc99@juno.com		x		I've been a fitness program teacher in the past, and I love it when people want to get out in the great outdoors and move their bodies. So I like to see especially young people take it up, but, again at \$6 a pop, which is what it really is, for reasons I stated above, you throw a road block in the way. You'll make the trails easier to maintain because nobody will be using them.
05/26/16		wags47@gmail.com		x		
05/26/16	Denis Davis	beautypeaks@yahoo.com	x			strongly support the proposed fee program so that the Forest Service can better maintain the facilities and trails in the canyons.
05/26/16	Mary D. Joyce	marydhall@comcast.net	x			I absolutely support the fee requirement for Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons!
05/26/16	Marty Boyce	Marty.Boyce@zionsbancorp.com		x		I am STRONGLY opposed to putting in fee stations in the cottonwood canyons. They really lessen/ruin the experience in going up the canyon.
05/26/16	Travis Mullen	thmullen@graniteschools.org	x			I 100% support a plan that would reduce vehicle traffic in the canyons.
05/26/16	Bryan Miller	milskey71@gmail.com		x		So why in the summer should the people that live here pay the price for these companies to bring people in from all over the world to enjoy our forest!
05/26/16	Dr. Andrew Eatchel	eatchel@mail.com		x		The trails are fine the way they are. Stop trying to line your pockets by charging ever increasing fees to access our lands.
05/27/16	Elliott R. Mott	elliott887@msn.com	x			I am touching base to voice support of the implementation of a fee structure for vehicle access into canyons adjacent to the Wasatch Front to include Big and Little Cottonwood Canyon. I am a volunteer organizer for area nonprofit outdoor groups and I'm in the canyons a lot and would highly prefer a annual pass
05/27/16	Carla Novess	cmnoives@hotmail.com	x			I have no problem paying a fee to hike

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
05/27/16	Ambrose Curtis	ambrose13@gmail.com		x		I would support this idea if you would use the fees to remove the roads and designate the canyons as wilderness areas.
05/27/16	Michelle Porter	michelleporter1@mac.com		x		Find another solution....other than making us pay for every little moment of joy in life.
05/27/16		somenumbskull@gmail.com	x			I already buy an annual Mirror Lake pass, so to add the Cottonwood Canyons is a great idea. Not sure why this hasn't already been done. Might as well throw in Millcreek Canyon to sweeten the deal!
05/27/16	Richard Ostler	rostler@xmission.com	x			My family fully supports the implementation of fees to use our beautiful canyons.
05/27/16	Chris Kilmer	ChrisK@natr.com		x		Do not start charging to access public lands. You should also stop charging to access American fork Canyon It is public land and we shouldn't be charged to access it.
05/27/16	Bruce Tremper	bruce@brucetremper.com	x			I support the rec fees for the Cottonwoods. I was wondering why it took so long.
05/28/16	Lanette Patterson	lanettemp@hotmail.com	x			I enjoy these canyons very much, and would support the proposed fee of \$6 per vehicle.
05/29/16	Kristin DeKock	kristin.dekock.92@gmail.com		x		The reason why I like to hike is because it is free.
05/29/16		charlynlr@yahoo.com	x			I understand the need and would be willing to help by paying a fee but I am over 60 and hope a discount can be offered to seniors so we can continue to enjoy the summer months hiking.
05/30/16	Kathy Pollock (Forest PIO forwarding comment from Sally Loken)	kjpollock@fs.fed.us	x			In fact, I would like to see additional use fees for the Cottonwood Canyons, such as a fee to drive up the canyons and parking fees.
05/30/16	Stephen Sample	ssample@ccim.net		x		I am strongly opposed to a \$6 per vehicle charge just to park and go hiking. This fee that is clearly prohibited by the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act.
05/30/16	Richard Swinyard	daswinyard@comcast.net	x			As a property owner in Big Cottonwood Canyon, I believe that it would be more appropriate to have a use fee for each vehicle that enters the canyon rather than use fees for a few select sites. In addition, I believe there should be an accommodation for property owners who already pay property taxes and garbage collections fees.
05/31/16	Jeff Foott	jof1@earthlink.net		x		The fees to PARK and use no facilities is absurd and wrong.
05/31/16	Warren Woodward	w6345789@yahoo.com		x		The Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest would be ignoring a growing body of case law saying that found such fees are illegal. Get clear on this: proposing to charge hikers to park at trailheads, even if they don't use any of the developed facilities, IS NOT LEGAL.
05/31/16	Tom Loken	sallyloken@gmail.com	x			Millcreek Canyon greatly benefited from a canyon fee. The Cottonwoods deserve the same and more.
05/31/16	Loren Bruns	ljbruns68@yahoo.com		x		These proposed fees are clearly illegal as specified by the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act.
05/31/16	Douglas Rohn	dougr60@yahoo.com		x		Add me to your list of those opposed to trailhead parking fees at the Big & Little Cottonwood Canyon trails.
05/31/16	Tippaya & Mark Gall	gmarmot1@icloud.com		x		My wife and I do not approve of visitor use fees in nearly all areas of Forest Service lands.
06/02/16	Deven Serr	deven7fh@yahoo.com	x			I am all for the fee/pass proposal for cottonwood canyons
06/02/16	Holly Uphold	holly_d423@hotmail.com		x		Finally, assessing a fee only hurts the people who benefit the most from the mountains. Six dollars may not seem like a lot of money, but it will disincentive a low income family from spending the afternoon together hiking our beautiful mountains. If additional money is needed to maintain the Cottonwood Canyons, then I think we should audit the Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest agency to identify funds that can be used to help maintain the Cottonwood Canyons. Assessing a fee on tax payers who already pay for access to these mountains through our taxes SHOULD NOT BE AN OPTION.

Cottonwood Canyons Trail/Parking Fees
Comments Summary

Obtained from the Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest under the Freedom of Information Act

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
06/02/16	Katie & Ryan Robinson	kmullin1021@gmail.com		x		As my husband and I are PhD students on meager stipends, we certainly could not afford an annual pass. Hiking and camping are our main recreational activities in the summer because they are healthy and free, and the beautiful wilderness of Utah is the reason both of us moved here. Low income families will be prevented from enjoying the beauty of Utah, a beauty that is not owned by the government and should not be marketed and sold as such.
06/03/16	Mike Neerings	mike.neerings@gmail.com		x		I have no faith that adding fees to the other canyons would be any better accounted for than which has happened in Millcreek Canyon. A better effort to be undertaken would be to eliminate the fee requirements for Millcreek and American Fork canyons in an effort to restore access to God given lands for his children here upon the earth.
06/03/16	A very concerned nature-lover	jed@sunridgesolar.com		x		It's already bad enough that American Fork Canyon was destroyed with cement buildings and firepits, as well as asphalt parking lots. There is no reason to charge people for these amenities because there is no reason for the amenities to begin with.
06/06/16	Laurie Hilyer	Laurie.Hilyer@mail.org	x			This is a long overdue, great idea
06/06/16	Claris Wright	CLARIS.WRIGHT@canyondistrict.org		x		Hiking should be free. Please do NOT charge money to enjoy these beautiful canyons.
06/07/16	Matthew Hickenlooper	m.hickenlooper@gmail.com		x		A fee would simply drive me and my family away from these beautiful spaces, and frankly would mean that our constant trash clean up would no longer continue in these areas.
06/07/16	Loyal Clark (FS) (Forwarding comment from FS road crew member Shane Yarrington)	lfclark@fs.fed.us			x	So the Recreation side of the house will take care of the trailheads and roads to trail heads through contracts and their BLI's? Since it states this money won't be used for roads accessing the trailheads etc..?
06/08/16		fortyfd@gmail.com		x		My opinion is the fee charges are terrible
06/08/16	Loyal Clark (FS) (Forwarding comment card for review before being sent to printer – not a comment)	lfclark@fs.fed.us			x	
06/08/16	Linda Lindeman	linda@naagttag.com		x		Many families can't afford this and should be able to enjoy our canyons as much as we do.
06/08/16	Trevor Long (FS)	tmlong@fs.fed.us	x			I purchase an interagency pass every year and I support the collection of fees at these areas.
06/09/16	Lark Wright	steampunkgirl.cw@gmail.com		x		Charging fees to park at various trailheads in Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons is a terrible idea. Please don't do it.
06/09/16	Jason Hill	hill.jason.s@gmail.com		x		I do not agree with charging a fee to access these public lands.
06/10/16	Pam Allison	p.h.allison@att.net	x			My only concern would be the impact of the fee on increasing the popularity of other trails in the area as users try to avoid paying fees.
06/10/16	Bruce Clark	bruce.clark@dematic.com		x		In the absence of any better detailed information, please consider my default vote on the entire fee proposal to be "No".
06/17/16	Amy Newbold	bkworm.awn@gmail.com		x		I don't think the options as proposed make a lot of sense.
06/18/16	Steve Jorgensen	stevecathy8@q.com	x			Information that was presented to the Big Cottonwood Canyon Association about the program on Monday June 13 appears to be different from information I received at the Silver Lake Interpretation Site. This type of miscommunication causes confusion for the community council.
06/20/16	Angela Terry	angela.terry@outlook.com		x		Don't chase the people away with fees. I am a single mom with no financial support. We would no longer be able to go up on the weekends if it started to cost more than the gas to drive there.
06/20/16	Sonny O'Grady	Sonny.Ogrady@pacificoffice.com	x			I am a huge proponent of charging vehicles to go up the Canyon.
06/20/16	Molly Butterworth	mollybutterworth@gmail.com		x		I am active in a group called Hike It Baby that aims to get families with young children out on the trail. For many families, even small fees are a significant obstacle.

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
06/27/16	Damon	lizkinne@gmail.com		x		We have been Cottonwood Heights residents for 12 years. The only thing that keeps us running our businesses and contributing to the local economy is the fact that we can access Big and Little cottonwood canyons on a daily basis. We are against the proposed fees.
06/28/16	Candace Sandness	beautiful4disaster@gmail.com		x		Additional fees are absolutely not necessary. Please manage and use the existing funds wisely to maintain the trails.
06/30/16	Pamela Johnson-Bennett	pookygrandma@yahoo.com		x		Please consider those of us who are on fixed incomes and would loose this enjoyable outing.
07/01/16	Lawrence "Charlie" Stoker	lawrence.stoker@us.af.mil		x		I have seen first-hand how throwing more money at a project does not fix a problem; rather, it usually exacerbates it!
07/07/16	Ken Gardner	kenny@sesslc.com		x		How can I, or anyone support something like this when all of the facts are not presented and the numbers are obviously inflated.
07/11/16	Leslie Rinaldi	leslierinaldi@rocketmail.com	x			More money is needed to maintain our trails.
07/11/16	Lance Baxter	lance.e.baxter2.mil@mail.mil		x		This seemingly small fee will prevent lower income people for being able to enjoy the beauty of nature that God gave us.
07/13/16	Jodean Wright	roughwingedswallow@gmail.com		x		Couldn't you try asking for volunteers to keep the trails in repair? There are so many hiking, biking, birding, and nature clubs that would be willing to help. There are also scout troops and youth groups. If you sent out a request annually, I bet you would get a response.
07/14/16	Kitty Benzar (Western Slope No-Fee Coalition)	wsnofee@gmail.com		x		I wonder if the forest is planning to make any modifications to the trail fee proposed at Cottonwood Canyons in light of the three successful lawsuits challenging trail access fees as illegal under FLREA.
07/15/16	Jake Garfield	garfi@byulaw.net	x			I'm also of the opinion that the \$6 fee should be assessed to all canyon users, with a toll booth located at the mouth of each canyon (similar to the current situation in Mill Creek Canyon and American Fork Canyon).
07/20/16	Michael R. Braun	braun@proslc.com	x			I am in favor of a Canyon Fee.
08/03/16	Paul Sampson	climbibikeski@hotmail.com		x		Many Americans such as myself have a lot of student loan debt they are trying to pay back, and getting outside in nature is one of the few ways we can stay fit and recreate without spending more money.
08/04/16	Mary Young, Chief Administrative Officer, Granite Community Council	tmayoung@xmision.com	x			The Council voted nearly unanimously to support in concept reasonable fees at heavily used trailheads and parking lots. Our single council member who did not support the proposed fee expressed the concern that the fee could result in elitist usage of the canyons, i.e., that what seems a relatively modest fee to many of us could end up restricting less affluent families from any usage of the canyons whatsoever.
08/07/16	Nathan Nish	ncnish@gmail.com		x		Regardless of if this is something "years in the making" or not, the popular thing is not always right. As-is, establishing these fees has seen people stay indoors rather than even attempt to explore their surroundings.
08/07/16	Andrew Keske	Valorintel@hotmail.com			x	As I understand the fee to be and in conjunction with other fees in the canyon such as picnicking and camping, I am not in favor of the proposed fee. I am not however opposed to fees in the canyons.
08/09/16	Marcin Ksok	marcinksok@netscape.net		x		As a frequent user of these areas for climbing and hiking, along with other areas in Utah I am concerned when it comes to the education of the users of what they will be charged for and when. Since I frequent the Uinta mountains and the Mirror lake highway fee area and see how lack of information leads to users paying fees when they don't actually have to, I worry that the same will happen in the canyons.
08/15/16	Joanna Wilson (FS) (Forwarding comment in opposition sent to a RRAC member)	jwilson08@fs.fed.us		x		The Forest Service does not own these lands, WE DO. They have no right to keep people out based on their ability to pay to access them. -Dr. Andrew Eatchel,

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
08/23/16	Barbara Cameron (Big Cottonwood Community Council)	barbaracameron@hotmail.com	x			The Big Cottonwood Community Council supports the US Forest Service proposal for fees at improved trailheads in the Wasatch. Sanitary facilities, safe parking, and traffic congestion are critical problems that need to be addressed. Additional funding would be helpful.
08/29/16	Judy Wolf	judymillerwolf@gmail.com			x	When I see families using the canyons for hikes and picnics, my heart is happy. I don't know their income levels, but I'm guessing that a high percentage of them are using the parks there because it is a low cost family "getaway" from the heat/unclean air in the SLC valley. On the other hand, the canyon traffic, trails, ski resorts have grown more and more crowded. We cannot sustain a pattern of one car, one person/two people driving up the canyons. The affluent canyon users will think nothing of buying these passes and will continue to drive individual cars to their favorite spots.
08/29/16	Denise Bermant	mdcb@mac.com	x			I am in favor of fees as described but with this provision: In order that the fees don't discourage low income residents from using the canyons, there needs to be a free public transportation option. In other words, a free bus that stops at whatever trailhead you ask for, or you pay a fee if you prefer to take your own car.
08/29/16	K.J. Pollock (Forest Service PIO)	kjpollock@fs.fed.us	x			Forwarded Facebook post: It is time we outdoor recreators paid for what it costs to recreate on public lands.
08/29/16	Joan Mills	joanmisharamills@gmail.com		x		I feel our canyons are a priceless treasure that should be available to all people.
08/29/16	Tom H. Cryer	tomhcryer@gmail.com		x		Picnic fees, okay. Camping fees, maybe. Hiking fees, never! Voluntary donations, always.
08/29/16	Kate Rice	katerice05@gmail.com	x			I feel a fee program would reduce the over crowding while helping to improve the area, this is a great idea.
08/29/16	Hilary Lufkin	hilary.lufkin@gmail.com	x			I support the site and annual fee if it encourages carpooling, makes trailheads and roads safer, and keeps us from "loving the canyons to death."
08/29/16	John Byrne	johnbyrne333@cs.com	x			I am supportive of these fees and the proposed uses of the funds.
08/29/16	Shawn Craig	scraig@backcountry.com	x			Fully welcomed. I use the Canyons regularly and think that a fee system is long overdue.
08/29/16	Alicia & Peter Pond	avpond@sbcglobal.net	x			We hope, though are unsure if, the fee will help with parking and traffic congestion but it will certainly provide revenue to the US Forest Service which we presume the USFS will use specifically as indicated in its announcement: for maintenance and improvements in both Cottonwood Canyons.
08/30/16	Kristy Boyce (2 nd Comment)	kristy8796@hotmail.com		x		I do not think it is a good idea to charge a fee for popular canyon hikes, neither to keep large crowds away nor to put back into the forest. All I need is a road and a mountain and I can take care of the rest.
08/31/16	Kristy Boyce	kristy8796@hotmail.com		x		I find it extremely distasteful that you think you can impose a fee on those who choose to enjoy the beauty of the mountains.
09/01/16		keener@math.utah.edu	x			Fees need to be charged at all NFS trailheads, including Butler Fork, Mineral Fork, Silver Fork, Bear Trap and Willow Heights. Without fees at these, traffic will be diverted away from fee areas to none fee areas, making already bad parking problems even worse.
09/02/16	T. Draper	tadhlt@hotmail.com		x		I find that the fees will negatively impact access to our public forest lands for those with low incomes, particularly families with children.
09/03/16	Sue Skeen	sue2ski@gmail.com		x		You should not make it harder for me to take my kids to the forest.
09/03/16	Brennan Peterson	brennan_peterson@comcast.net		x		Keep these canyons free and open to all.
09/05/16	Alison Anderson	ali_anderson@outlook.com	x			Something has got to change and maybe a fee will reduce congestion.
09/05/16	Joan C. Thomsen	j.thompsen@att.net		x		One evening however, as we drove up Millcreek Canyon, there was a toll booth. The toll wasn't very expensive, but it was enough of a hit to our young family's budget to prohibit our regular visits. We had to find somewhere else to enjoy taking our family. I had a friend whose family had a cabin in Millcreek Canyon. She said they welcomed the fee (which they didn't have to pay) because it kept "the rowdies" out of the canyon. It kept our young family out, too. It seemed to become a spot for the privileged.

Cottonwood Canyons Trail/Parking Fees
Comments Summary

Obtained from the Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest under the Freedom of Information Act

Date	Name (if provided)	Email Address	Supports	Opposes	Ambiguous	Comment excerpt
09/06/16	Del Draper	deldraper3@comcast.net	x			While I support the proposed fees, I am concerned that the proposal to charge fees in Big and Little Cottonwood canyons may not go far enough and that a broader and more comprehensive fee structure should be implemented.
09/06/16	Cliff Curry	cliffcurryaltautah@gmail.com	x			I'm a 20-year Alta resident. I am in favor of the proposed fee program
09/06/16	Dave Andrenyak	andrenyakda@aim.com	x			This would be a great means to obtain financial resources to maintain the forest and improve recreational facilities.
09/07/16	Karen Travis	belspri@xmision.com		x		It would be nice to know what problem(s) you are trying to solve in order to come up with a logical workable solution.
09/07/16	Henry O. Whiteside	hwhiteside@xmision.com	x			Is the least bad alternative to pathetic under-funding of public amenities
09/07/16	Robyn Christiansen	altarobyn@gmail.com			x	Numerous questions but no opinion expressed
09/09/16	Marissa Clark	marissapclark@gmail.com		x		If you cut off those who cannot afford to visit the canyons, you cut off generations of individuals who will have never had the chance to experience the wilderness, therefore, never have the desire or the passion to preserve what little nature remains in this world.
09/09/16	Jen Clancy (Exec Director, Friends of Alta)	jen@friendsofalta.org				Attached letter not accessible
09/09/16	Margaret Bourke	margaretbourke@earthlink.net		x		I am hopeful the USFS will improve the recreation sites in Little Cottonwood Canyon and bring about positive changes. I think the proposed parking fee does not achieve this result, but rather propose restricting motorized travel on the Summer Road, free parking at lots off Utah 210 in the Town of Alta, and a fee for riding a shuttle into Albion Basin, operating dawn to dusk.
09/09/16	Roger Bourke	rourke@earthlink.net		x		It's not clear what expenses the parking revenue is to cover, but whatever they are, they can't be substantial.
09/09/16	Christopher Lish	lishchris@yahoo.com		x		By implementing a standard amenity fee, the USFS would be inhibiting visitation from a segment of the population for which it should be attempting to make our forests more open and inviting. And at a time that the USFS is endeavoring to make itself relevant to young adults and those who live in cities, this move to increase fees is counterproductive.
	Handwritten Comment		x			Yes! Please do this.
	Handwritten Comment	gamigirl25@gmail.com	x			I think it is a great idea if it stays here for use of upkeep of the area and park.
	Handwritten Comment		x			I am very much in favor of supporting our wilderness areas so I think this would be a reasonable fee.
	Handwritten Comment			x		Wants more trails added but "nobody should have to pay to use restrooms."
	Handwritten Comment			x		Our taxes fund multiple programs any further fees would be greed and double taxation.
	Handwritten Comment		x			Works well in AF Canyon and I think makes hikers more aware of our responsibilities.
	Handwritten Comment		x			Fantastic idea! Booths.
	Handwritten Comment		x			\$45 pass should be good for Uinta forest and AF Canyons.
			117	148	10	
			44%	56%		